EXHIBIT 151

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3013-36 Filed: 12/18/19 2 of 40. PageID #: 447135

E0574.1

From:

Hernandez, Tracey

Sent:

Friday, January 4, 2013 11:57 AM

To:

Patel, Sanjay

Cc:

Connell, Jill

Subject:

Today's Meeting

Attachments:

Action Items from Tablets DEA Deficiency Letter - 2012.xlsx; DEA & Security Plan -

Progress to Date (updated 1-3-13).xlsx; FMEA for DEA Compliance.xlsx; INSPECTION

FOLLOW UP HSV TABS.xlsx

Sanjay-

For today's meeting, I want to provide you with the documents I have regarding project tracking for DEA Compliance. Right now, there are several different documents resulting from: 1) DEA Inspections; 2) Meetings with Security (DEA/Security Proposal); 3) FMEA Assessment for Five Year Plan; 4) My own list of follow up items identified during the HSV Tablets Inspection (not identified by DEA).

Perhaps we can get together and determine the best way to consolidate these to pull the projects that are most pertinent to the business or those that you need more frequent updates on.

Tracey L. Hernandez
Director, DEA Compliance
Qualitest Pharmaccuticals
120, 130 and 150 Vintage Drive
Huntsville, AL 35811
(256) 799-7178 (office)



Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3013-36 Filed: 12/18/19 4 of 40. PageID #: 447137

DEA ACTION PLAN FOR TABLETS (APRIL 2012 DEA INSPECTION/DEFICIENCY LETTER)

COMMITTED TO ACTION	STATUS
Use of the term "multi-lots" on DEA 41 forms will be continued.	Complete
Retrain operators on surface clean SOP,	Complete
DEA Compliance team to randomly witness surface cleans for two months.	Complete
Create a reconciliation page for the packaging of brite stock batches. Assure that the page requires a reconciliation by bottle/tablet count, not solely by weight.	Complete
Modify the existing reconciliation page for packaging to assure the page requires a reconciliation by bottle/tablet count, not solely by weight.	Complete
Revise the "Loss/Summary" page in the packaging batch record to clearly reflect components, not product.	Complete
Notify the Reverse Distributor that we will not accept DEA 222 forms noting "bulk" as the number of packages.	Complete

DEA ACTION PLAN FOR TABLETS (APRIL 2012 DEA INSPECTION/DEFICIENCY LETTER)

COMMITTED TO ACTION	STATUS
Segregate controlled product awaiting destruction by active ingredient.	Complete
Purchase computers for use in the vault/cage to begin to automate some processes.	Purchase/install complete. EofY inventory automated. More automation to follow (see below/destruction database).
Conduct more frequent destructions for Tablets.	4 done in 2011; 11 in 2012. Will continue with frequent destructions. Complete.
Repair dent to raw materials cage.	Complete.
Secure door from perimeter to chiller (boiler) room. Secure entrance to production from chiller (boiler) room.	Complete

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3013-36 Filed: 12/18/19 8 of 40. PageID #: 447141

3-6 months 3-7 months 3-7 months 3-7 months 3-7 months 3-8 months	Cocinity Curtoms								
Security	Security Systems								
al Security Se months Soft mo		3-6 months	\$650K	×	×	×	×		
al Security Se months So months		3-6 months 3-6 months	\$20K \$45.5K	××				××	
Security	Physical Security						1		
Somethis Sold Ket X X X X X X X X X		3-6 months		×	×	×	×	×	
1-2 months 52.00k		3-6 months	S90K per	×				×	
1-2 in months 52-0-35 per 25 months 5100K 25 months 25		3-b months	\$300K	× ;					
Se months Subok		suppose Tr-Tg moutus	\$92.5K per	× ;	3	3	× :		
Se months Salok		3-6 months	SA.V million	<	<	× ×	<		Ì
## B-6 months \$-5 months \$-	SOPs								
## Se months 3-6 months		3-6 months	\$100K						×
3-6 months 3-6 months 3-6 months 3-5 years 3-5 years 3-6 months 3-7		3-6 months							×
3-6 months	Behavioral/Culture Changes								
3-6 months 3-7 months		3-6 months							×
3-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-6 months B-7 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		3-6 months	\$20K						×
3-5 years 3-6 months 3-1429 million \$21,429 million \$1,873 million		3-6 months				1	1		×
3-5 years 8-6 months 8-6 months 18 months 3-6 months 3-7	Other Required Infrastructure								
Se months Se m		3-5 years							×
3-6 months 521K X X X X X X X X X									
Corporate 3-6 months 521K X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Supply Chain Security	3-6 months	\$80K				× >		
3-6 months \$21K		emining.				ĺ	4		
3-6 months \$21K	Corporate Security and Corporate Social Responsibility								
3-6 months \$21K	DEA Compliance								
18 months	OCA COmpriance	3-6 months	\$21K	×		×			
3-6 months 3-6 months 3-6 months 521.429 million 518.713 million		18 months		×	×	×	×		
3-6 months 3-6 months X	Additional Headcount								
3-6 months		3-6 months		×	×	×	×	×	
\$2	0.000	3-6 months		×	×	×	×	×	
	TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS		£31 430 million						
	TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS		\$18.213 million						

\$815.5K \$2.4 milion

These charges will be captured in the EQ&SC strategy plan

TOTAL NON CAPITAL COSTS

TOTAL HEADCOUNT COSTS*

* costs do not include benefits

Not Applicable

Category of Update	Recommendations	Timeline	Total Projected Cost	2012 Spend	Accountable	Status (Budget / Progress/Accomplishments/Issues/Ri	Status Update (as of 1/3/2013)
	Visitor Management System	3-6 months	\$20K	520K		Identified a system working with Endo corporate to implement the system at Qualitest. CEA has been submitted and currently waiting on final approval of CEA before the project can start. Projected start date of 11/1/12	
						Still reviewing potential options for software. Have a final meeting with compliance to review the proposed compliance/PK system and determine it is still meet the needs ofthe Security Department. Once the software program is Identified, the next step is to need with a contract of the	
	New Case Management System	3-6 months	\$45.5K	\$45.5X	A. Graham	date.	
Physical Security	Optimize Card Key Access	3-6 months	No charge		A. Graham	New SOP was approved. Should be operational by 9/25/12.	
SOPs	Robust Scapicious Order Monitoring System	3-6 months	\$100K	\$100K		Tracey and IT have identified a vendor and received a bid for a solution that involves initial development and an ongoing review of customer orders. 35tk for development a initial IT resource (\$1800, \$525 yearly thereafter. Solution is bransferable to \$AP. Additional funding for Phone 8 iupgrades will be needed in 7013 (ternding/audits). Tracey in working on finalizing \$099 associated with:	Contracted w/vendor for preliminary assessment to take place in January 2013 Working with Lisa Walker to obtain additional information on UPS' SOMS program of the less cause of the list con-
						import/export; tablet & encapsulating machine transfers; destruction of controlled substances and mostas.	SOF Itan, Sonni, Cheryf and Stacey remain to sign off or training). Tahleting & Eticapoulating Machine SOP is agentsional, Listed Chemicals is also operational.
	Update several SOPs	3-6 months	No Charge		T. PR.SIMINGEL		Decreasion/Chesta still pending. CS Coordinators and VCMs are in place and trains
	Update working practices	3-6 months	No Charge			Currently CS Goordinators & Vault/Cage Monitors are in place at Tablets and Liquids and all have been trained. Implementation in Charlotte is ongoing with training scheduled for 30/3 and 30/4.	at at facilities. We are one each short in Charlots due to a lack of qualified applicants. We are hop intimal be able to find some additional applicants toon.
Behavioral/Culture	Implement Random Search	3-6 months	\$20K	\$20K	A. Graham	Working on a quote for the 6 new cameras. The quote came in high at 550K. Will need to speak with Finance about proceeding.	
Changes	implement Random Background Check	3-6 months	\$20K (annuxi)	\$20K		New SOF was drafted and in being circulated to the Team for review. Currently working on implementing the process immediately rather than waiting on the Visitor Management System. New Contract Review SOP allows for color emoletoning of the reduct/contraction on site and the roll out of a checklist resures that the new background checks and thing streems can be done.	
	Implement new GPS solution	3-6 months	SBOK	\$80K		Identified a vendor and provided the CEA to Finance for review and approval. Current plan is to implement by 11/1/12. The first test run of the new hardware and software went very well.	
Supply Chain Security	Update Carrier contracts	3-6 months	No Charge			This will be done in roordination with the new transportation solution. Security and DEA will provide all necessary requirements for the final carrier solution and that will be incorporated into the final contract. The proposed finalization date is 1273-572.	
							URS's for additional scales at HSV Tablets,
	Scales for Cages/Vaults	3-6 months	\$200K	\$200K		Spoke with Bob Mittie and he confirmed the scales will be purchased and implemented this year.	Compliance and signed off on last week
DEA Compliance	Additional safes (4 in HSV and 3 in Charlotte)	3-6 months	\$21K	521K	T. Hernandez	Spoke with Bob Matjie and he confirmed the safes will be purchased and implemented this year.	Lafet were purchased for Charlotte [2] and Humppula Tablets [2], in 2012. Unfortunately, the restal estimate provided was under the actual cost. Total cost for 4 sal was \$
Additional Headcount	Security Breakcount for remainder of 2012)	3-6 months	\$650K*	SBOOK		The budget has been updated to reflect the cost of additional security and escorts swill	
	DEALITY (Paradecurit for remainder of 2012) DEA (2 new headcount = 3 for Huntsville and 3 for Charlotte)	3-6 months	3600rg.	param.		not be used going forward. COMPLETED. Job descriptions were written and the two positions posted. Tracey has requested an external recruiter be utilized at least for the Manager position.	The Manager position was filled. The position in Charlotte is still open; working with HR.

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3013-36 Filed: 12/18/19 13 of 40. PageID #: 447146

_	
-	
_	
=	
2	
_	
_	
-	
0	
-	
a)	
-	
-	
S	
S	
10	
a)	
63	
0	
0	
~	
_	
α.	
_	
_	
0)	
Q)	
-	
0	
_	
C	
1	
77	
S	
0	
7	
S	
77	
_	
(I)	
_	
_	
-	
0	
_	
_	
_	
_	
-	
0	
8	
S	
S	
of Co	
of Co	
of Co	
e of Co	
e of Co	
ge of Co	
age of Co	
age of Co	
rage of Co	
orage of Co	
orage of Co	
torage of Co	
Storage of Co	
Storage of Co	
Storage of Co	
: Storage of Co	
I: Storage of Co	
: I: Storage of Co	
t I: Storage of Co	
rt I: Storage of Co	
art I: Storage of Co	
art I: Storage of Co	
Part I: Storage of Co	
Part I: Storage of Co	
- Part I: Storage of Co	
- Part I: Storage of Co	
e - Part I: Storage of Co	
ce - Part I: Storage of Co	
ice - Part I: Storage of Co	
nce - Part I: Storage of Co	
ince - Part I: Storage of Co	
ance - Part I: Storage of Co	
iance - Part I: Storage of Co	
liance - Part I: Storage of Co	
pliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
ipliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
npliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
mpliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
ompliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
A Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
A Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
EA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co	
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)	

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Final Risk Rating
Tablets Vault & Cages (WIP/FG) are at capacity and without Memphis, would have exceeded capacity.	Regular destructions; removed all non-cs	s	r)	Controlled drugs are required to be stored by DEA Schedule, specifically in vaults or cages (unless small quantities, then safes). Capacity must be adjusted as quantities increase.	Approve funding for additional capacity to build vault/cage. It should be known also that MAPICS' capability prevents making a good determination of the number of pallet spaces used historically.	25
Directed Legal to State License to State License to State License to State License to Increase of seasonal products and Memphis for ten without Memphis, would have exceeded storage. Regular capacity.	Directed Legal to obtain TN State License to allow shipment of products to Memphis for temporary storage. Regular destructions.	8	m	Controlled drugs are required to be stored by DEA Schedule, specifically in vaults or cages (unless small quantities, then safes). Capacity must be adjusted as quantities increase.	Approve funding for additional capacity to build vault/cage. It should be known also that MAPICS' capability prevents making a good determination of the number of pallet spaces used historically.	6
Distribution Vault & Cage is at capacity and again, without Memphis, would have exceeded capacity. It should be roted that as of 8/13 Memphis only had routine shipments to 200 pallet spaces remaining.	Regular destructions and routine shipments to Memphis.	2	ru.	Controlled drugs are required to be stored by DEA Schedule, specifically in vaults or cages (unless small quantities, then safes). Capacity must be adjusted as quantities increase.	additional capacity to build vault/cage. It should be known also that MAPICS' capability prevents making a good determination of the number of pallet spaces used historically.	25
Adequate segregation of controlled product by DEA registration will be an issue in the very near future. Three pallet spaces exist for imported API in the Tablets Vault; 96 pallet spaces exist in the Distribution Cage for imported finished goods.	Incorporate additional import space into RFP for additional vault/cage space.	m	m	Product brought in under one DEA registration must be segregated from that brought in under a different registration.	Approve funding for additional capacity to build vault/cage.	σ

-
=
0
4
=
-
-
0
0)
w
+
S
S
in
~:
e e
C
~
O
_
0
-
_
9,
a
O
=
_
-
.0
+
S
0
_
_
10
91
-
O
a.
_
=
0
_
-
-
=
0
11
$\mathbf{\mathcal{C}}$
=
0
1
(D)
=
a
T
-
_
0
5
ş
Sto
Sto
: Sto
I: Sto
t I: Sto
tl: Sto
rt I: Sto
art I: Sto
Part I: Sto
Part I: Sto
- Part I: Sto
- Part I: Sto
e - Part I: Sto
e - Part I: Sto
ce - Part I: Sto
nce - Part I: Sto
ince - Part I: Sto
ance - Part I: Sto
iance - Part I: Sto
liance - Part I: Sto
pliance - Part I: Sto
npliance - Part I: Sto
mpliance - Part I: Sto
impliance - Part I: Sto
ompliance - Part I: Sto
Compliance - Part I: Sto
Compliance - Part I: Sto
Compliance - Part I: Sto
A Compliance - Part I: Sto
:A Compliance - Part I: Sto
EA Compliance - Part I: Sto
DEA Compliance - Part I: Sto
DEA Compliance - Part I: Sto
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

sue to bey & t; checked e if totes there (no); d from d from the vall so be g from ineering access, while still meeting and should not be location. These crates do not meet DEA cage requirements. Usually, all controlled substance laboratory samples are stored in safes for ease of access, while still meeting are full and should not be location. It change.	Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Final Risk Rating
Had crates moved from Open QC lab area to locked Metrology Office. Had crates bolted to the wall so that they cannot be wheeled out of facility. Security and Engineering for designing a Laboratory Control Center by Control Center by Controlled drug storage. Had crates do not meet DEA cage requirements. Usually, all controlled substance laboratory samples are stored in settle meeting ordered in such a visible location. These crates do not meet DEA cage requirements. Usually, all controlled substance laboratory samples are stored in settle meeting ordered in such a visible location. Awaiting pricing	Mezzanine contains Schedule II s. Totes are both stored there process. Mezzanine was only proved for Schedule III-V. t & Oxycodone are Schedule II.	Communicated issue to Process Technology & Production Mgmt; checked vault space to see if totes could be stored there (no); limited access to Mezzanine to 13 supervisors. Need additional vault space; and to segregate Mezzanine into WIP and storage area.	4	4		Provide funding and resources to separate Mezzanine into storage and WIP. Approve funding for additional capacity to build vault/cage with spaces for totes.	16
Divaring Privile.	QC Laboratory storage for le III-V products is two rolling dog vithin the Metrology Office, sed for storing Schedule I and II is are centrally located in the ory and visible to all passers by.		4	4	These crates do not meet DEA cage requirements. Usually, all controlled substance laboratory samples are stored in safes for ease of access, while still meeting DEA requirements. The existing safes that are currently used only for Schedule I and II products, are full and should not be located in such a visible located in such a visible	Provide funding and resources for Laboratory Control Center.	16

 Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input
ನ
=
=
0
e
K
SS
a
ö
2
Д
_
S
ö
a
st
9
_
S
P
<u>-</u>
$\overline{}$
2
Ħ
5
ŭ
4
0
a
DO.
a
5
ĭ
S
=
Ξ
a
-
-
8
Ĕ
B
듣
9
≥
,0
O
DEA Compliance
ш

DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Final Risk Rating	4	σ.	6
Further Actions Required	Provide funding and resources for secure solutions.	Provide funding and resources to relocate torrits from the roof and secure.	Provide funding and resources to secure all packaging lines individually.
Background			
Severity Rating	2	м	m
Visibility Rating	2	м	m
Actions Taken To Date	Met with Engineering and with Liquids Manufacturing to brainstorm solutions. Next meeting scheduled in August.	Notified Maintenance of the need to secure the roof. Longer term need to determine if these torrits can be housed internally to minimize risk.	
Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Controlled substance in-process material in the Liquids Manufacturing area, located in tanks and vessels is not capable of being secured during lunches, with Liquids Manufacturing meetings, fire drills or other such events. To brainstorm solutions neeting scheduled in August.	Controlled and non-controlled product waste is accumulated and stored on the froof of the Tablets facility. We recently the learned access to the roof is unsecured.	Packaging lines working with controlled products cannot be locked or alarmed during breaks, lunches, fire drills or other similar events.

-
=
_
0
~
=
-
~
_
(I)
~
-
0)
W
S
(D)
~
_
0
-
~
4
7.5
S
(I)
~
0
=
10
4
S
~
~
7
.=
S
0
~
Ψ
=
$\overline{}$
0
_
+
-
_
0
13
ŭ
ŭ
Ç
of C
ofC
e of Co
ge of Co
ge of Co
age of Co
rage of Co
rage of Co
orage of Co
torage of Co
Storage of Co
Storage of Co
Storage of Co
: Storage of Co
1: Storage of Co
t I: Storage of Co
rt I: Storage of Co
art I: Storage of Co
art I: Storage of Co
Part I: Storage of Co
Part I: Storage of Co
- Part I: Storage of Co
- Part I: Storage of Co
e - Part I: Storage of Co
ce - Part I: Storage of Co
ice - Part I: Storage of Co
nce - Part I: Storage of Co
ance - Part I: Storage of Co
iance - Part I: Storage of Co
liance - Part I: Storage of Co
oliance - Part I: Storage of Co
pliance - Part I: Storage of Co
npliance - Part I: Storage of Co
mpliance - Part I: Storage of Co
ompliance - Part I: Storage of Co
ompliance - Part I: Storage of Co
Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
A Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
A Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
EA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Co
DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

ons Final Risk Rating	g for loors; with well.	1 when ce is	g for 16
Further Actions Required	Provide funding for stainless steel doors; should assist with dust control as well.	Relocate desks when additional space is available.	Approve funding for additional Vault/Cage Monitors.
Background			
Severity Rating	2	1	4
Visibility Rating	2	1	4
Actions Taken To Date	Suggested use of stainless steel doors be considered by Engineering as future improvements are considered.	Requested desk locations be included but directly outside of vault/cage for future builds.	Request for additional headcount for 2013 to cover this controlled storage area.
Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Manufacturing suites in Tablets utilize roll up doors made of tarp-like material. This material does not provide good security of the product in that it can be cut with a knife and entry into the room can take place. Cameras would detect but are not watch on a continuous basis so detection would not be immediate. Video review capabilities are limited; especially if the individual is fully gowned with beard and hair cover.	Desks are currently located in the vaults/cages in Tablets. This provides a hiding place for product but also contributes to the feeling of an office environment where employees can gather. One desk is in each; but requirement is two people present at all times.	Mezzanine cage in Tablets is being used as a storage area. However, no Vault/Cage Monitors are assigned and currently one person oversees (two required).

0574.18

DEA Compliance - Part I: Storage of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Final Risk Rating
As laboratory and R&D needs expand it has become evident that additional safes will be needed to house controlled by M. Richardson.	Included this need in the DEA Compliance/Security Proposed Plan submitted by M. Richardson.	2	2		Approve funding for safe purchases in 2013.	4
Charlotte Vault is at full capacity and actually, the vault capacity limitations are dictating the quantity of Schedule II Conveyed to Five Year product that can be manufactured at of process.	Conveyed to Five Year Strategy Team at initiation of process.	S	5		Approve funding for additional capacity to build vault/cage. It should be known also that MAPICS' capability prevents making a good determination of the number of pallet spaces used historically.	25

-
ನ
=
E
0
0
T
S
S
S
8
ō
2
旦
10
a
Ü
_
a
St
9
7
S
0
O
=
0
=
_
0
O
¥.
0
>
=
=
cur
ecur
Secur
: Secur
II: Secur
t II: Secur
art II: Secur
Part II: Secur
Part II: Secur
- Part II: Secur
e - Part II: Secur
nce - Part II: Secur
ance - Part II: Secur
liance - Part II: Secur
pliance - Part II: Secur
mpliance - Part II: Secur
ompliance - Part II: Secur
Compliance - Part II: Secur
Compliance - Part II: Secur
A Compliance - Part II: Secur
DEA Compliance - Part II: Secur
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input

Although we have added cameras throughout the facilities, there is still	Rating Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
inadequate camera coverage in key areas of manufacturing and packaging. Security has justified Conversations with employees make it additional cameras and very clear that our employees know worked with an outside where the blind spots are.	м		Approve funding for additional cameras in blind spot areas.	9
Vault/Cage Monitor Staff is insufficient for the operations at both the Charlotte and Tablets facilities. Initial assessments did not include the Tablets mezzanine and the desire to have two Controlled Substance Coordinators transport the product together to free up operators to continue production. In Charlotte, carisoprodol was not a controlled substance at the time of the initial headcount assessment and the 3700 building was not being utilized for	7		Approve funding for additional Vault/Cage Monitors and Controlled Substance Coordinators in 2013.	4
Radios are needed for Vault/Cage Monitors & CS Coordinators to alert them of suspicious activity, security or manufacturing to safety issues or to notify them of a provide. DEA inspection.	1		Need funding approval for these radios.	2

+
3
0
=
-
-
0
Q1
=
is
S
S
a
0
0
-
0
-
S
ai
Ö
~
=
10
1
S
77
3
S
O
a
=
0
2
Ŧ
5
,~
0
5
ofo
ofo
y of C
ity of C
rity of C
urity of C
curity of C
ecurity of C
Security of C
Security of C
: Security of C
II: Security of C
: II: Security of C
rt II: Security of C
art II: Security of C
Part II: Security of C
Part II: Security of C
- Part II: Security of C
e - Part II: Security of C
ce - Part II: Security of C
ice - Part II: Security of C
ince - Part II: Security of C
ance - Part II: Security of C
liance - Part II: Security of C
pliance - Part II: Security of C
npliance - Part II: Security of C
mpliance - Part II: Security of C
ompliance - Part II: Security of C
Compliance - Part II: Security of C
Compliance - Part II: Security of C
A Compliance - Part II: Security of C
A Compliance - Part II: Security of C
EA Compliance - Part II: Security of C
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
Hiring practices add to controlled substance security challenges. In particular, the hiring of temporary employees directly into controlled substance operations and the company's historical background check practices which have not been addressed.	Several conversations with the Legal Department and HR to request a change to our policy regarding temporary employees (working on it) and a request to redo background checks for any employee who has not gone through our current, more thorough process.	4	4	Some longer term employees a comprehensive evalunever had a background check or those hired right before the Endo purchase had one but it did not look at all addresses the individual resided in for the past seven years; it only looked at the current address. background check practice cannot search against foreign addresses. Provide resources to provide resources to existing employees to exist in exist in existing employees to exist in e	Provide resources to perform a comprehensive evaluation of existing employees to determine the level of background check performed. Need management buy-in to institute a policy whereby all background checks are redone after 2-5 years. Need additional funding to perform those background checks.	16
Attire worn in the manufacturing area is not conducive to preventing diversion.	Worked with team to eliminate pockets for manufacturing operators. Need to evaluate all personnel entering area.	33	2		Funding to change existing uniform structure and to possibly invest in pocketless uniforms.	9
Lack of a random search procedure and equipment and resources to support.	SOP is ready to go. Need additional cameras and possibly staff to manage process.	2	2		Provide funding for additional cameras and staff to monitor.	4

+	
-	*
=	•
2	2
-	-
-	-
=	-
-	-
2	1
0	1
- 34	•
	•
v	7
U	7
U	7
a	١
7	1
	,
c	3
- 5	5
~	-
ч	-
_	_
	-
~	:
q	j
L)
-	=
-	-
C	3
4	٠
10	'n
×	4
4	2
-	3
	1
U	,
_	
τ	3
n	1
u	•
=	=
-	١
٠,	•
	•
•	-
- 2	=
.0	Ś
5	3
S	3
f Co	3
of Co	5
of Co	5
of Co	5
TV of Co	5
ity of Co	200
rity of Co	200
rity of Co	200
urity of Co	Control of Control
curity of Co	Calley of Co
or irity of Co	COULTY OF CO
Society of Co	Security of co
Socility of Co	Security of co
Society of Co	Security of co
· Security of Controlled Substances (Process Sten/Innut)	occurry of co
II. Security of Co	ii. Security of co
II. Security of Co	III. Security of co
+ II. Security of Co	till occurry of co
rt II. Security of Co	The second of the
art II. Security of Co	altilli occulty of co
Part II. Security of Co	artin occanity of co
Dart II. Security of Co	artin Security of Co
Dart II. Security of Co.	alt III. Security of co
- Dart II. Security of Co	alt III. Secully of co
Sacriffy of Co	alt III Secully of co
o Dart II. Security of Co.	Calculation occurry of co
Co. Dart II. Security of Co	Comment of the commen
Dart II. Security of Co	מונים מוניווי סכימוויא מו כמ
ance - Dart II. Security of Co	alice alone occurry of co
ance - Dart II. Security of Co	מווכר ומורווי סברתווול כו כם
liance - Dart II. Security of Co	Halle alt III. Secully of co
liance - Dart II. Security of Co	Silaince I all till. Seculity of co
nliance - Dart II. Security of Co	pilance I alt III. Security of co
anliance - Dart II. Security of Co	ipliance I are in Security of co
muliance - Dart II. Security of Co	Inpliance aren. Security of co
muliance - Dart II. Security of Co	dilipliance and in Security of co
omnliance - Dart II. Security of Co	compilative and in security of co
Compliance - Dart II. Security of Co	compilarity of the
Compliance - Part II. Security of Co	compilative lare in Security of co
Compliance - Part II. Security of Co	Compilative lait III. Security of Co
A Compliance - Part II. Security of Co	Compliance and in Security of Co
FA Compliance - Dart II. Security of Co	EA COMPINED AND IN SECURITY OF CO
DEA Compliance - Part II. Security of Co	SEA COMPINATION OF THE SECURITY OF CO
DEA Compliance - Part II. Security of Co	DEA COMPANIES MICH. SECURITY OF CO
DEA Compliance - Dart II. Security of Co	DEA COMPANIES SILVIN SECURITY OF CO
DEA Compliance - Dart II. Security of Co	DEA COMPINED IN SECURITY OF CO

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
Management of site visitors is inadequate for a facility of our size, manufacturing controlled substances.	SOP is ready to go. Security requested and received monies to invest in an automated system.	2	2		Provide funding for Visitor Management System.	4
Cargo theft is a great concern in the Pharma Industry now but we have not deployed adequate prevention techniques to assure our products do not fall victim.	Security has drafted an SOP. Additional GPS units (more state of the art) are necessary to cover all full load shipments.	м	ю		Provide funding for additional GPS units.	6
Historically, maintenance of keys at our facilities has not been controlled. Several keys have been copied by employees. Records do not exist as to who keys were assigned to. Many areas need to be re-keyed with keys that cannot be duplicated.	Security has been working on this but more efforts are needed, as well as a culture change around sharing keys/alarm codes.	2	2		Funding for re-key program & additional locks.	4
Alarms need to be on separate alarm panels to assure that they are easily tested during inspection without shutting down the operation. DEA expressed concern about the lack of this in the Tablets WH during our last inspection.	Security has been working on this but may need additional funding to accomplish.	1	1		Funding to establish separate alarm zones.	1

-	
-	
=	
_	
0	
_	
=	
-	
-	
0	
03	
Ψ	
-	
in	
0,	
10	
0,	
S	
01	
-	
O	
\circ	
_	
_	
0	
=	
_	
10	
~;	
a	
()	
\simeq	
-	
10	
+	
in	
~	
-	
_	
S	
-,	
-	
O	
(1)	
•	
=	
-	
_	
-	
_	
-	
0	
.~	
()	
$\overline{}$	
=	
ž	
bo	
ofo	
y of C	
ty of C	
ity of (
rity of (
urity of (
urity of (
curity of (
ecurity of (
ecurity of (
Security of (
Security of (
Security of (
: Security of (
II: Security of (
II: Security of (
t II: Security of (
rt II: Security of (
art II: Security of (
art II: Security of (
Part II: Security of (
Part II: Security of (
 Part II: Security of (
- Part II: Security of C	
e - Part II: Security of C	
e - Part II: Security of C	
ce - Part II: Security of C	
ice - Part II: Security of C	
nce - Part II: Security of (
ance - Part II: Security of C	
iance - Part II: Security of C	
liance - Part II: Security of C	
pliance - Part II: Security of C	
pliance - Part II: Security of C	
npliance - Part II: Security of C	
mpliance - Part II: Security of C	
impliance - Part II: Security of C	
ompliance - Part II: Security of C	
Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
A Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
A Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
EA Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of (
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)	
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C	
DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of C	

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
realiers docked at the Receiving area are loaded with controlled products during the day in order to ship on time. However, once on the trailer, the trailer is not secured and individuals are not present to monitor at all times.	Recently learned of this practice; requested trucks be padlocked. Was told we did not have padlocks.	е	2		Funding to purchase padlocks.	9
Several mechanical chases exist in the Tablets building that have been historically used for storage of junk or as a shortcut to other areas. Some of these chases go directly into Manufacturing, others lead directly into the Lab. There are no cameras, card access or locking mechanism on the entrances/exits of these chases.	Notified Security and requested they be secured. Funding for additional cameras/security is not available.	m	т		Funding and resources to secure and monitor mechanical chases.	6
The entire controlled substance manufacturing and packaging process in both Tablets and Charlotte is too hands on. This creates opportunitites for diversion.	Discussed risks and need for improvement with Process Technology. Aligns with their goals for dust containment.	ю	т		Funding and resources for tote feed, drum inverters and other enclosed equipment.	6

DEA Compliance - Part II: Security of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

		Visibility Severity	Severity		Further Actions	Total Risk
Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Rating	Rating	Background	Required	Rating
	Discussed need to					
	upgrade fencing at					
Perimeter fence is not consistent	some point with					
around the property. The type of	Security. DEA does	2	2		Funding for perimeter	4
fence we currently have is ornamental	recommend in their			· ·	tence.	
and does not prevent unauthorized	Security Outline of the					
access.	CS Act, perimeter					

-
-
=
_
0
=
_
=
2
-
Q.
ĭ
17
01
S
in
21
æ
O
0
2
-
4
)
in
0,
a
U
-
=
CO
+
S
0
=
_
S
7.
T
21
Ψ
=
0
۳
-
=
0
, ~
0
-
~
O
-
=
_
-=
-
B
تن
-
=
\supset
0
×
2
Ų
D
-
-
=
_
+
-
g
o.
-
-1
-
a)
U
ř
=
ര
.0
olia
plia
nplia
mplia
omplia
complia
Complia
Complia
A Complia
EA Complia
EA Complia
DEA Complia
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)
DEA Complia

Total Risk Rating	25	16
Future Actions Required	Approve funding necessary and provide IT resources to be able to quickly implement a compliant solution. In 2013, approve funding for either a contractor or an in-house SOMs investigator to perform customer assessments.	Purchase scales for all Vaults and Cages. Fund resources and if need be temporarily halt product to allow for installation. Add scales to site calibration program.
Background	Our Qualitest facility is due for DEA inspection any day. The time that DEA would review this system is when they conduct a Distribution inspection. This is an extremely hot topic with DEA at present. Many companies have lost their licenses or paid millions of dollars in fines, for distributing less than we are - see attached supporting summary showing these.	
Severity Rating	so.	4
Visibility Rating	ın	4
Actions Taken To Date	DEA Compliance has developed a plan for the new system. We are working with IT but resources are limited. Currently they are building on to the old system and the full program won't be fully completed until sometime in 2013.	Recently realized as part of the kaizen event. Have requested scales be included in any new vault/cage build. Product can be immediately brought to the vault or cage and then weighed.
Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Our current Suspicious Order Monitoring Program (SOMs) was built in pieces and only applies to the retail side of the business. DEA requires it to apply to all customers. In addition, the current system has had two issues in the past year that resulted in controlled product being released that should not have been. The system needs to be revamped, all customers added, IMS data and chargeback data incorporated and eventually a contracted customer assessment firm hired or an on-site SOMs specific individual to perform these assessments.	Raw material is not weighed upon receipt as there are no scales in the Receiving areas.

$\overline{}$
+
-
_
0
_
_
_
-
0
_
a)
-
-
S
S
in
.,
ຒ
7
0
0
_
_
0
_
$\overline{}$
S
71
w
O
-
_
-
+
S
=
-
-
_
10
-
-
0
0.1
a a
_
_
0
_
_
-
-
_
0
,~
U
-
4-
0
0
01
30
ity o
lity o
ilityo
oility o
bility o
ability o
tability o
tability o
ntability o
intability o
untability o
ountability o
ountability o
countability o
countability o
ccountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
l: Accountability o
II: Accountability o
III: Accountability o
III: Accountability o
t III: Accountability o
rt III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
 Part III: Accountability o
 Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
 Part III: Accountability o
ce - Part III: Accountability o
ice - Part III: Accountability o
nce - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
iance - Part III: Accountability o
liance - Part III: Accountability o
oliance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
npliance - Part III: Accountability o
mpliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Ir

Background Future Actions Required Rating Rating Purchase scales for all Vaults and Cages. Fund resources and if need be temporarily halt product to allow for installation. Add scales to site calibration program.	Approve additional 6 headcount (one) for DEA Compliance Auditor.	DEA commented during last
Severity Backgr	8	DEA commented during last inspection of Tablets about
Rating Se 4	2	
Actions Taken To Date Worked with Metrology to order scales. Last information indicated some had been purchased but more funds were needed for others. Installation can occur immediately if scales are not imbedded. If they are, this may need to wait until new vaults/cages are built.	An auditor headcount was requested for 2013.	Have brought concerns to
Potential Failure Mode/Effect In our vaults and cages, there is no weight verification of controlled material sentering or leaving. This includes waste quantities, blend, samples for destruction or API. Monitors take the weight written on the label as face value. This is due to the fact that scales are not present in these areas. A significant diversion opportunity is present as a result.	No internal audits of our DEA controlled substance operations are being performed due to lack of resources.	There is no reliable inventory management system for our Manics exists

_
Ξ
=
_
Q
_
=
-
0
a
+
S
S
S
a
O
\simeq
0
_
Д
-
10
0,
a
O
=
10
1
5
7
7
17
91
77
~
a
=
0
9
-
=
_
0
,~
\circ
4
-
0
0/
ty o
ity o
ility o
oility o
ability o
ability o
tability o
ntability o
untability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)
ountability o
ountability o
countability o
ccountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
. Accountability o
I: Accountability o
II: Accountability o
III: Accountability o
t III: Accountability o
rt III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
- Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
ce - Part III: Accountability o
nce - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
iance - Part III: Accountability o
liance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
apliance - Part III: Accountability o
mpliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Future Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
No standard automated reports exist for utilization during DEA inspections. Reports need to show lot history, samples taken, product waste, batches yielded, reconciliation ranges, shipments and receipts.	Provided IT with a standard list of reports required.	m	m	DEA commented during last inspection of Tablets about our lack of automation and their displeasure with it.	Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	O
The MAPICS system (manufacturing transactions) and the LogPro system (distribution), do not match. Quantities shipped from manufacturing do not match quantities received in distribution. There are several instances where data is lost on transfer from one system to the other. Reconciliations between the two systems occurs for DEA quarterly reporting. However, MAPICS has not and cannot be corrected once the shipment is made.	Discussed on multiple occasions with IT. Because information was never corrected historically we have no foundation to build upon. Inaccuracies in current system abound.	m	м		Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	σı

+
-
=
0
=
7
-
-
0
-
a
-
in
0,
In
10
S
Q)
0
9
0
2
-
-
S
(I)
-
O
=
G
-
in
~
7
-
-
S
TO
=
a
_
=
0
-
-
=
_
0
, ~
U
4
0
0
0
NO.
ityo
lity o
ilityo
bility o
ability o
ability o
tability o
ntability o
intability o
untability o
ountability o
ountability o
countability o
ccountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
: Accountability o
I: Accountability o
II: Accountability o
III: Accountability o
t III: Accountability o
rt III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
- Part III: Accountability o
- Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
se - Part III: Accountability o
ice - Part III: Accountability o
nce - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
liance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
npliance - Part III: Accountability o
mpliance - Part III: Accountability o
empliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Future Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
Batch records require reconciliation to +/- 2%. DEA requires you to investigate where the 2% went and to document items you investigate. We are not doing this currently due to resources.	Researched w/QA & Production to determine if there is any comprehensive automated system that captures batch record information (yield, assay, samples, etc.). There is not. Creating one would take considerable time to go through every batch record for at least one year (preferably two) to capture the data.	m	m	Two percent used to be sufficient but as batch sizes grew, DEA because concerned at how much 2% really is. Charlotte DEA commented during their last inspection on this.	Provide consultant or headcount or possibly assign as a Process Excellence Initiative.	ō
There is no inventory management system to allow the QC laboratory to maintain a perpetual inventory. Manual logbooks are used which make reconciling, trending and year end inventories difficult.	No action to date.	н	7	Other companies have used Trackwise for this purpose.	Add resource to DEA Compliance so that more time can be spent researching and designing a solution with Lab personnel. May need to purchase Trackwise or other similar system.	2
Upon receipt of controlled product in Distribution, the shippers are weighed. Several times a year product is found to be missing (56 bottles from Liquids last year alone). These discrepancies may be due to mispacking or they may be due to diversion.	Have met with key personnel, required written investigation, operator training and counseling. Have toured the Liquids packaging lines to investigate.	3	m	Possible solutions: check weighers built into the lines, scales at end of each line, more cameras	Purchase and install check weighers for all Packaging lines handling controlled substances.	6

-
=
_
0
-
=
-
-
71
æ
-
in
٠,
0,
S
01
w
O
~
0
_
•
-
$\overline{}$
S
(1)
O
-
_
(T)
13
-
S
0
_
S
7
0
a)
_
=
-
0
_
-
=
_
0
()
$\overline{}$
-
_
0
0
0
2
tyo
lity
ility
oility o
billity o
ability o
tability o
tability o
ntability o
untability o
untability o
ountability o
countability of
countability o
ccountability o
accountability o
Accountability of
Accountability of
Accountability of
: Accountability of
II: Accountability of
III: Accountability of
III: Accountability of
t III: Accountability of
rt III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
- Part III: Accountability o
- Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
ce - Part III: Accountability o
ice - Part III: Accountability o
nce - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
iance - Part III: Accountability o
liance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
upliance - Part III: Accountability o
npliance - Part III: Accountability o
mpliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability of
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Future Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
No mandatory, routine DEA training exists for employees handling controlled substances. Knowledge of DEA regulations is not incorporated into employee's job descriptions or performance reviews.	General training program has been created. Resources are prohibitive to train all employees at present. A more automated (video or computer based) training program needs to be created to allow for reaching more individuals.	2	2		Provide funding for designing computer or video based training program. DEA Compliance Manager headcount is needed to effectively cover all applicable employees.	4
SOPs need to be created for several activities related to DEA compliance but more importantly, departmental SOPs need to be evaluated and be made to incorporate DEA compliance requirements into them. This is a lengthy task based on the number of SOPs that are needed and the company's lack of a robust, automated change control process.		б	2		Provide funding and resources to automate our change control process. Approve additional headcount for DEA Compliance Manager to assist with SOP review/implementation.	φ

-
-
-
_
0
=
_
_
-
0
-
a)
-
10
0,
10
0)
a)
()
\simeq
0
-
~
_
_
S
യ
~
$\overline{}$
_
~
10
-
S
0
_
3
10
5)
-
O
03
_
-
\sim
_
+
=
0
()
\sim
44
0
0
0 /
ty o
ity o
ilityo
oility o
bility o
ability o
tability o
tability o
ntability o
untability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)
untability o
ountability o
countability o
countability o
ccountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
Accountability o
: Accountability o
II: Accountability o
III: Accountability o
: III: Accountability o
t III: Accountability o
irt III: Accountability o
art III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
 Part III: Accountability o
 Part III: Accountability o
Part III: Accountability o
e - Part III: Accountability o
ce - Part III: Accountability o
nce - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
ance - Part III: Accountability o
liance - Part III: Accountability o
bliance - Part III: Accountability o
pliance - Part III: Accountability o
upliance - Part III: Accountability o
mpliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
ompliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
\ Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
A Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
EA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
JEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o
DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability o

Total Risk Rating	16 .o	16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1
Future Actions Required	DEA requires we verify that a customer has a valid projects have regulatory DEA registration prior to shipment of any controlled product. Evaluate IT projects pending against current head a valid projects have regulatory implications but are not moving along as quickly as product.	Evaluate IT projects pending against current headcount. Several projects have regulatory implications but are not moving along as quickly as they need to; likely due to inadequate resources.
Background	DEA requires we verify that a customer has a valid projects have regula prior to shipment of any controlled product. Evaluate IT projects curring against curring headcount. Several projects have regula implications but are moving along as quiethy or product.	
Severity Rating	4	4
Visibility Rating	4	4
Actions Taken To Date	Met with IT on multiple occasions. IT to purchase NTIS Tape and begin process. No action to date.	Requested IT and Packaging investigate and resolve. Thought to have been addressed but it has occurred again since.
Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Checks are done at account set up of all new customers to assure they have a valid DEA registration. Checks are done afterwards once a year. Industry standard is now to check the DEA license at each purchase since shipping to an entity that has an expired or invalid license is a \$10,000 fine. The capability exists to automate this check at the weekly level (NTIS Tape or Database). We need to check registrations more frequently to limit risk.	labels have occurred on several occasions. This leads to mis-picks in Distribution and causes product to be shipped incorrectly. The system which generates the shipper labels generates a mount. If the batch exceeds the expected amount, new labels are generated. Instead of picking up where the count left off, the system restarts the been addressed but it has count.

DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Extraneous waste (gloves, plastic bags, etc.) are destroyed via normal waste procedures. These items have residue and are avenues for diversion. A better practice would be to destroy them as costs as a result of moving controlled products but there would be to a reverse distributor an additional destruction cost associated. (per DEA request).
Currently there is no way to delineate blend in manufacturing that has not had the controlled product added versus that has had the controlled product added. The labels are the same. Discussed at the waste color color color delineate biscolor delineate by the waste color color color delineate biscolor delineate bis
Requested check weighers be purchased for all packaging lines handling controlled products.

DEA Compliance - Part III: Accountability of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Visibility Severity Rating Rating	Background	Total R Future Actions Required Rating	Total Risk Rating
Bottle dumps occur on the Packaging line					Purchase and install check	
each time an in-process short count is					weighers for all Packaging	
identified. This process is manual,	Researched process with				lines handling controlled	
creates a tremendous opportunity for	Quality and Packaging.	3	7		substances. In addition,	9
diversion, increases the risk of foreign	The bottle dumps are a				implementation of dust	
tablets on the line and can be blamed for practice committed to	practice committed to				containment equipment	
most of the single tablets found	FDA. A more automated				would help.	
throughout our manufacturing facilities	and less hands on process					
on the floor.	is needed.					

DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
rurchase Orders do not always contain the Qualitest or Customer DEA Registration Number. This is a manual process, dependent on the purchaser remembering to enter the information. MAPICS does not currently have a field for this information or any requirement to capture it.	Notified IT and Purchasing. Corrected purchase orders that come through DEA Compliance (Schedule II	4	4	Each instance of a missed DEA registration number on these documents is a \$10,000 fine.	Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	16
In ZULL, quota quantities were exceeded due to the current method of tracking quota (excel spreadsheet) and some purchases not being entered prior to the new Director arriving. If MAPICS were more reliable and versatile or a if a new ERP system was deployed, this process could be automated and preventitive measures put in place.	Documented instance and reasons for future reference.	4	4	Again, each instance of exceeding quota is a \$10,000 fine.	Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	16

-
-
_
0
=
=
-
-
ч
(I)
w
+
in
•,
10
0,
S
a
-
O
0
_
_
2
$\overline{}$
10
0,
a)
-
$\stackrel{\smile}{\sim}$
=
LO
-
in
-0
=
\rightarrow
0)
_
~
a
_
_
0
~
-
+
_
0
,~
\circ
_
4
0
0
pp
B
ng
ing
ping
ping
eping
eeping
ceeping
keeping
Ikeeping
dkeeping
rdkeeping
ordkeeping
ordkeeping
cordkeeping
ecordkeeping
ecordkeeping
Recordkeeping
Recordkeeping
Recordkeeping
: Recordkeeping
/: Recordkeeping
V: Recordkeeping
IV: Recordkeeping
t IV: Recordkeeping
t IV: Recordkeeping
irt IV: Recordkeeping
art IV: Recordkeeping
Part IV: Recordkeeping
Part IV: Recordkeeping
· Part IV: Recordkeeping
- Part IV: Recordkeeping
e - Part IV: Recordkeeping
e - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ce - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ice - Part IV: Recordkeeping
nce - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
liance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Miance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
pliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ipliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
npliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
mpliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ompliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
A Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
A Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
EA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
EA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping

Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
ARCOS Reports (quarterly report of all transactions/DEA required), submitted in 2011 did not include destruction quantities as required.	At the time this error was realized, action could not be taken to address prior destructions due to the volume, lack of information recorded on destruction forms and lack of resources. Automation of destruction quantities would have prevented this. In 2012, destructions are being reported but are extremely time consuming due to the lack of automation.	4	4		Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	16
End of year inventory discrepancies were noted in the 2010 inventories and the year end reports submitted to DEA. Some product was not included in the inventory at all, others were not converted properly or were included twice.	Adjustments were made when the 2011 inventory and end of year reports were submitted. However, DEA inspections can go back as far as two years so this is still a risk. Could have also been prevented through automation.	m	m		Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	on .

-
=
_
q
=
_
$\overline{}$
~
_
a)
ĩ
in
01
S
in
ai
w
0
0
-
0
-
_
S
01
- 2
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
_
C.
13
10
~
7
-
·7
0)
-
0
a
_
$\overline{}$
O
_
-
_
$\overline{}$
, ,
0
=
0
-
po
100
ing
guic
ping
eping
eeping
keeping
Ikeeping
dkeeping
rdkeeping
ordkeeping
cordkeeping
scordkeeping
ecordkeeping
Recordkeeping
Recordkeeping
: Recordkeeping
/: Recordkeeping
IV: Recordkeeping
IV: Recordkeeping
t IV: Recordkeeping
irt IV: Recordkeeping
art IV: Recordkeeping
Part IV: Recordkeeping
Part IV: Recordkeeping
- Part IV: Recordkeeping
e - Part IV: Recordkeeping
e - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ce - Part IV: Recordkeeping
nce - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
iance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
liance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
oliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ipliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
npliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
impliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
ompliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
A Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
A Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
EA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
JEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping
DEA Compliance - Part IV: Recordkeeping of Controlled Substances (Process Step/Input)

purchases) quantities received cannot exceed quantities received cannot exceed quantities received cannot exceed quantities received cannot we have had incidents were it did. We have had incidents were it did. We have had incidents were it did. We product and not enough of another due to the person filling in the wrong NDC mushor demands incorrect receipt dates or missing quantities received. An automated process, other paperopriate checks and balances with appropriate checks and balances in the quantity transferred, damaged product, etc. Adjustments at the finished goods rever to picking errors, discrepancies in the quantity transferred, damaged product, etc. Adjustments at the finished goods revery controlled associated. There is no where in MAPICS substance adjustment and incurrent and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual consistently document adjustments or the wave sparate manual consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustments or the Nave separate manual and consistently document adjustment ad	Potential Failure Mode/Effect	Actions Taken To Date	Visibility Rating	Severity Rating	Background	Further Actions Required	Total Risk Rating
Requested to be notified of every controlled substance adjustment and to have separate manual documentation created.	used for Schedule II ntities received cannot es requested. However, cidents were it did. We tomer too much of one t enough of another due Iling in the wrong NDC al process). Other 222 ude incorrect receipt g quantities received. An cess with appropriate		м	m	Each 222 form discrepancy again brings with it the potential for a DEA fine of \$10,000.	Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	6
		Requested to be notified of every controlled substance adjustment and to have separate manual documentation created.	ю	м		Upgrade MAPICS system or purchase new ERP system and include checks and balances in design.	6

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3013-36 Filed: 12/18/19 37 of 40. PageID #: 447170

TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATION OR ACTION ITEM	SUGGESTED RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENTS RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS DATE DUE	RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS	DATE DUE	COMPLETION STATUS

ITEM #	TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ORSERVATION OR ACTION ITEM	SUGGESTED RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENTS RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS DATE DUE	RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS	DATE DUE	COMPLETION STATUS
ş						
13						
14						
35						
16	DEA Noted	Unsure as to when the last tooling inventory was conducted frone since at least Navember 2011).	required and how often. If not required, update procedure to include. Conduct and document, a full inventory of tooling as soon as possible (include the pallet of tooling located upstamment the metzbarine in an unvecured area). Recommend conducting same twice/year going forward.	Elic Bonnes/Jason Schlermeyer	Evaluate SOP by 18-May; inventory by 15-June	
17	DEA Noted	DEA licensies should not be posted in the lobby where the public can view.	Relocate DEA licenses to an employee access only location.	Tracey Hernandez	N/A	Complete; licenses are now posted in cabinet in Goff's office.
18	DEA Noted	DEA continually noted the large, "errorsove" quantities of waste that we generate:	See if we can generate a document that would track the amount of released product for each batch as compared to the waste quantity. If other plans are in place to help cut back on waste, document those along with the timing to that we can better defend these comments in the future.	Eric Bonner/Jeff Terry/Kally Christiansen	Evaluate feasibility 23- May; 15-June implementati on	
19	DEA Noted	In the Liquids Building, Analytical Lab Safe was labeled as "Safe Md" but on keypad was referenced as "Safe HS"	Assure safe numbers in Liquids Analytical Lab are matched property to keypad references	Trevor Hodge	14-May	
20	DEA Noted	DEA commented that our alarm system in Tablets was "antiquated" and each area should be set to different points, rather than all on one.	Assess future plans to see if an upgrade is planned. Research methods to be able to segregate points (either modification to exasting system or new).	Trevor Hodge/Mark Powers/Aaron Graham	Consultant audit planned by 2-July; results by 15- August	
21	DEA Noted	DEA could not believe that we didn't lave a system in the warehouse cages and vault that would tell us the pallst location for each for of product (Warehouse Management System).	Review future plans to determine if this is in the works or if it is something we can add. Evaluate Warehouse Management is System in Distribution to see if anything can be carried over. Develop timeline for same.	David Haas/LeeAnn Smith/Steve Cook/Eric Bonner/Troy Richardson/Pat Comley	780	

ITEM #	TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATION OR ACTION ITEM	SUGGESTED RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENTS	RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS	DATE DUE	COMPLETION STATUS
22	Internally Identified	Packaging Supervisor brought a bag of mixed tablets to the vault. Vault accepted as is. Supervisor had internally identified been storing in deak previously.	Determine which SOP might be best to define this process. Update to be clear that no controlled product is to be stored in desks and that all controlled product must be segregated and clearly labeled w/name, strength, quantity and lot # prior to wault or cage deposit. Tain personnel (including Vault/Cage whothors), on the new process (include DEA's penalties & spereption of others when your maintain tablets in desk).	Jeff Terry/Kelly Christansen/Tracey Hernandes/Troy Richardson	8-Jun	
23	Internally Identified	Shipment of Oxycodone 30 mg 100 ct sent to Memphis from Tablets. Some documentation showed internally identified shipment from Tablets; some showed shipment from Distribution.	Request and receive an after-the-fact DEA 222 order form to correct this transaction. Return original form to Memphis for voiding.	Kim Lee/Lisa Walker	N/A	Complete
24	Internally Identified	Internally Identified No power of attorney exists for Daniel Carberry.	Prepare a letter for Dave H. to sign granting Daniel Power of Attorney.	Margaret Richardson	25-May	
25	Internally Identified	Raw material purchase orders do not contain the supplier's DEA Registration number or our purchasing internally identified facility's DEA Registration numbers.	Work with Purchasing and IT to correct. ADDENDUM: Later it was learned that some P.O.s do have the numbers but it is person dependent and is not always accomplished. This needs to be an automated prompt or process.	Tracey Hernandez/James Edwards/David Haas/LeeAnn Smith		
26	Internally Identified	Internally Identified Filter above boiler room door was packed with dead flying insects.	Change or clean screen. Include in routine maintenance program.	Eric Bonner/Steve Benesh	18-May	Complete, filter removed and cleaned
77	Internally Identified	Internally identified No state license exists that would permit us to ship products from Tablets to Memphis (TN).	Apply for and obtain a TN State License (and controlled substance license if necessary) for Distribution from Tablets.	Regina Jewell	N/A	Complete; license obtained.
28	Non-controller Internally Identified DEA approval.	Non-controlled brite stock was located in the controlled substance Finished Goods cage without prior DEA approval.	Relocate this material to another location to free up all possible controlled substance space.	Eric Bonner/Troy Richardson	31-May	
59	Internally Identified	Internally Identified We are unable to tell what safes were approved by DEA and what safes are not.	Provide DEA with a list of all safes on-site in Huntsville (Include serial Han di costonior). This is so DEA can check prior reports and provide feedback as to what safes were previously approved vs. any outstanding.	Tracey Hernandez/Trevor Hodge	31-May	